Aryeh Weil is rabbi of Congregation Bnai Yeshurun in Teaneck, NJ and has taught in Yeshiva University's James Striar School of Jewish Studies.

## THE WAYFARER'S PRAYER

Prayer constitutes a most obvious expression of our relationship with God. Indeed, prayer contains the power to comfort and soothe, elevate and ennoble. In addition to the tradition of three daily services that are ascribed to the practices of our Patriarchs, an appreciation of God's involvement in our everyday life is maintained by a variety of other blessings and prayers. These were instituted to provide an awareness of the Almighty's role in affecting the nature and life of mankind.

Blessings are recited in connection with a wide array of mundane activities such as eating, sleeping, deriving sensory pleasures and discharging bodily functions. Therefore, it is hardly surprising that the rabbis formulated a prayer to be recited when one undertakes a journey. It is most appropriate to petition God to vouchsafe one's journey, as traveling can, at times, be fraught with danger. Yet as simple and obvious the need for such prayer may be, we shall see that there is ample room for halakhic discussion.

Tefillat ha-Derekh finds its origins in the Talmud:

Eliyahu said to Rav Yehudah, the brother of Rav Sala the Pious: "Do not become angry and you will not sin; do not become drunk and you will not sin; when you set forth on a journey, consult your Maker and then embark." What is meant by "consult your Maker and then embark"? Rabbi Ya'akov said in the name of Rav Hisda: "This is the wayfarer's prayer [Tefillat ha-Derekh]." And Rabbi Ya'akov said in the name of Rav Hisda: "One must recite the wayfarer's prayer prior to embarking upon a journey." What is the wayfarer's prayer? "May it be Your will, Lord, my God, that You lead me toward peace, direct my footsteps toward peace and uphold me in peace. May You rescue me from the hand of every foe and ambush along the way. May You send blessing in my every handiwork, and grant me grace, kindness and mercy in Your eyes and in the eyes of all who see me. Blessed are You, Lord, Who hears prayer."

This paper was completed on 19 Tamuz 5747, the first yahrzeit of Dr. Martha Weil, Miryam bat ha-Rav Sinai, and is dedicated to her sainted memory.

A precedent for *Tefillat ha-Derekh* is alluded to earlier in the tractate.<sup>2</sup> We are informed that King David's soldiers would "consult the Sanhedrin (*nimlakhin ba-Sanhedrin*)" prior to engaging in war. Rashi<sup>3</sup> comments that the purpose of appearing before the Sanhedrin was to request that the sages of this august institution pray on behalf of the army for victory in war.

Thus, when Rabbi Hanina declares all road travel to be dangerous,<sup>4</sup> we can better understand the appropriateness of the wayfarer's prayer. (In fact, Rabbi Yonah was wont to prepare a will before undertaking a journey.<sup>5</sup>) It is this prayer which we wish to examine.

While the Talmud's original rendition of the prayer is in the singular, Abbayei suggests that an individual should always include himself within the context of the *tsibbur*, community. Thus, the Talmud concludes that *Tefillat ha-Derekh* should be recited in the plural form.<sup>6</sup> This is our practice today.<sup>7</sup> (However, Meiri suggests an alternative means of accomplishing Abbayei's objective. One may recite *Tefillat ha-Derekh* in the singular form if one concludes the prayer with the expression *ani ve-kol ammekha Beit Yisra'el*, "I and all Your people, the House of Israel."8)

Abbayei seems to follow in the great tradition set forth by Hillel<sup>9</sup> and Rabbi Tsadok,<sup>10</sup> who instructed, "Do not separate yourself from the community." It is not coincidental that Jews generally pray in the plural, even when praying alone. The individual always intertwines his fate and needs with those of the community. One should therefore recite the prayer in the plural form; nevertheless, one fulfills the obligation post facto even if the prayer is recited in the singular.<sup>11</sup>

The opening of our prayer has also generated considerable discussion. Most berakhot begin with the words "barukh atah." One of the exceptions to this rule is when there are a series of berakhot directly following one another, such as the blessings that precede Keriat Shema or the berakhot of the Shemoneh Esreh. Rashba<sup>12</sup> questions why Tefillat ha-Derekh, not being adjacent to a preceding berakhah, opens without the customary barukh atah. He explains that this form is similar to that of the Talmud's formulation of the tefillah ketsarah, the "short prayer" said when one cannot recite even the drastically abridged Havineinu form of the Amidah. 13

Rabbi Yehoshua says: If one is travelling in a dangerous place, he says a short prayer (tefillah ketsarah), saying, "Save, O God, Your people, the remnant of Israel; in every time of crisis may their requirements be before You. Blessed are You, O God, who hearkens to prayer.<sup>14</sup>

Kol Bo<sup>15</sup> maintains that Tefillat ha-Derekh must always be appended to a preceding blessing, thus obviating the need to begin with barukh atah. <sup>16</sup> As a practical matter, Kol Bo's opinion is not followed, leaving us with Rashba's question.

Ra'avad<sup>17</sup> posits that there is no need for the customary barukh atah opening for Tefillat ha-Derekh because the prayer is not recited for every journey that a person undertakes. As we shall see later, there is an opinion<sup>18</sup> that Tefillat ha-Derekh should not be recited for a journey that is less than a parsah, approximately two and two-thirds miles. Similarly, the tefillah ketsarah is only recited when one senses that one is engaged in a dangerous journey. Thus, he argues, neither blessing requires the traditional opening of barukh atah.

Ramban, <sup>19</sup> however, disagrees. According to Ra'avad's contention, many berakhot would not begin with barukh atah. For example, Birkat ha-Mazon is not warranted if a person eats less than a ke-zayit of bread; <sup>20</sup> thus, reasons Ramban, Ra'avad's logic would result in the omission of barukh atah at the beginning of Birkat ha-Mazon. Rather, suggests Ramban, Tefillat ha-Derekh does not begin with barukh atah because it is a prayer of petition (tefillah be-alma) rather than a technical berakhah, such as birkhot ha-nehenin, birkhot ha-mitsvot or berakhot occasioned by a particular situation. Tefillat ha-Derekh does conclude with barukh atah because of the length of this petition. Tosafot<sup>21</sup> concurs with Ramban. <sup>22</sup>

Kesef Mishneh<sup>23</sup> notes that the Talmud<sup>24</sup> allows for circumstances when the second berakhah of Keriat Shema, Ahavah Rabbah, is recited alone, outside of its normal context. This berakhah does not open with barukh atah because it is normally preceded by another blessing. But given that a berakhah without the customary opening of barukh atah is not technically a berakhah,25 one should not be yotse with the recitation of such an Ahavah Rabbah. Therefore, he suggests that a berakhah that is preceded by another berakhah is considered as if it too contains the requisite opening of barukh atah, even when this berakhah is occasionally recited alone, without the preceding blessing. Tefillat ha-Derekh concludes with the blessing shome'a tefillah, which are also the concluding words of the sixteenth blessing of the Shemoneh Esreh. There shome'a tefillah is a berakhah that is adjacent to a preceding blessing. Thus it is considered to contain the proper opening even when it stands alone here in the form of Tefillat ha-Derekh.26

It comes as no surprise that there is rabbinic discourse regarding the correct stance for one who is reciting *Tefillat ha-Derekh*. Proper posture is an integral part of prayer. For example, the centerpiece of our daily prayers, the *Shemoneh Esreh*, requires a standing posture

that displays one's utmost respect for God, the recipient of our prayers. On the other hand, *Birkat ha-Mazon*, the blessing recited after meals, should be recited while one is sitting. It concludes the meal, and proper etiquette requires that one be seated while eating.

The rabbinic discussion regarding one's posture for *Tefillat ha-Derekh* might reveal how one should regard this prayer. Is it akin to the *Shemoneh Esreh* and thus recited while standing, or is it to be viewed as a facet of one's travels and thus also recited while sitting?

The Talmud<sup>27</sup> relates a discussion between two *Amora'im* regarding one's posture for certain prayers:

How should one pray [this unspecified prayer]? Rav Hisda said one should be standing still and Rav Sheshet said that one may pray [this prayer] even while [sitting and] proceeding onward. Rav Hisda and Rav Sheshet were once travelling together and Rav Hisda arose and began to pray. Rav Sheshet inquired of his attendant about Rav Hisda's behavior. The attendant responded that Rav Hisda was praying [this particular prayer]. Rav Sheshet declared that he would rise as well for the prayer, for "If thou can be good, do not be called bad."<sup>28</sup>

Rambam,<sup>29</sup> as understood by Rav Yosef Karo in *Kesef Mishneh*,<sup>30</sup> assumes that the prayer referred to by Rav Hisda and Rav Sheshet is the *tefillah ketsarah* that is recited when traveling on a dangerous road. This conclusion is based upon the Talmud's discussion of the appropriate posture for that prayer immediately after its reference to Rav Hisda and Rav Sheshet.<sup>31</sup>

Most Rishonim, however, presume that Rav Hisda and Rav Sheshet were discussing Tefillat ha-Derekh. Tosafot,<sup>32</sup> Mordekhai,<sup>33</sup> Meiri,<sup>34</sup> Rashba<sup>35</sup> and Rabbanei Tsorfat<sup>36</sup> state that the halakhah is in accord with Rav Sheshet's ruling that one may recite Tefillat ha-Derekh even while sitting. The Talmud's depiction of Rav Sheshet standing still for the prayer does not imply that he agreed lahalakhah with Rav Hisda. Rav Sheshet was merely trying to act bemiddat hasidut in this instance.<sup>37</sup> Rif,<sup>38</sup> Rosh<sup>39</sup> and ha-Rav Yosef<sup>40</sup> view the account of Rav Sheshet standing still as an acquiescence to Rav Hisda's opinion la-halakhah. Thus, they rule in accord with Rav Hisda.

Beit Yosef<sup>41</sup> maintains that even Rif and Rosh are in accord with Rav Sheshet, with Rav Hisda's opinion to be followed only when feasible. This is indicated by their words, "It is *better* to follow the opinion of Rav Hisda, who maintained that one should be standing still."

Ma'adanei Yom Tov<sup>42</sup> disagrees with Beit Yosef because Rif does not mention the Talmud's story of Rav Hisda's and Rav Sheshet's joint journey. The story is quite relevant to the pesak halakhah according to Beit Yosef's understanding that the trip's

unique circumstances caused Rav Sheshet to stand still for *Tefillat ha-Derekh*. Furthermore, Tosafot<sup>43</sup> states unequivocally that Rif is in accord *la-halakhah* with Rav Hisda.

However, it is difficult to understand how one could decide in accord with Rav Hisda on the basis of the unique circumstances that led Rav Sheshet to stand still for Tefillat ha-Derekh. Ma'adanei Yom Tov notes that Rif and Rosh had a different Talmudic text for Rav Sheshet's opinion. Our text reads: Rav Sheshet amar afilu mehalekh, "Rav Sheshet says [one may recite Tefillat ha-Derekh] even while [sitting and] proceeding onwards." The texts of Rif and Rosh read: Rav Sheshet amar mehalekh, Rav Sheshet says sone should recite Tefillat ha-Derekh] while [sitting and] proceeding onward." Had the Talmud not related the story of Rav Sheshet standing for Tefillat ha-Derekh when he travelled with Rav Hisda, we would have assumed that Rav Sheshet requires one to sit while reciting Tefillat ha-Derekh. Rif and Rosh would still have sided with Rav Sheshet.44 The story shows that Rav Sheshet does not insist that one sit for Tefillat ha-Derekh; rather, he allows such a posture when one is unable to stand still for the prayer. So reasons Ma'adanei Yom Tov. 45

Why would we have originally thought that Rav Sheshet insists upon being seated for Tefillat ha-Derekh? Rabbeinu Yonah, 46 in discussing the tefillah ketsarah, rejects the opinion of some that the prayer is akin to the Shemoneh Esreh (me-ein Shemoneh Esreh), which would have required one to stand still during its recitation. It is conceivable that Rav Sheshet was attempting to counter a notion that Tefillat ha-Derekh is likewise akin to the Shemoneh Esreh. Thus, Rav Sheshet might have required one to be seated when reciting this prayer. The Talmud's story subsequently demonstrates that such was not Rav Sheshet's concern. 47

The halakhah suggests that one should stand still for Tefillat ha-Derekh. When this is not feasible, one may proceed on his journey while reciting this prayer.<sup>48</sup>

At what point should one pray [Tefillat ha-Derekh]? Rabbi Ya'akov said in the name of Rav Hisda, "From the time that one is traveling upon the road." Until when? Rabbi Ya'akov said in the name of Rav Hisda, "Until a parsah."49

The Talmud's language is somewhat ambiguous, resulting in different interpretations among Rishonim. Rashi<sup>50</sup> maintains that one should recite the prayer at the beginning of his trip. The prayer may no longer be recited once one has journeyed the distance of a parsah. Rashi also cites the opinion of Halakhot Gedolot (Bahag) that Tefillat ha-Derekh is only recited at the beginning of a journey whose duration is of a parsah or more.<sup>51</sup>

Rashi's contention that the prayer may not be recited after one has traveled the distance of a parsah is puzzling. Thus, Rosh,<sup>52</sup> Ra'avad<sup>53</sup> and Tur<sup>54</sup> all concur with Bahag's opinion. Penei Yehoshua<sup>55</sup> endeavors to defend Rashi on the basis of the Talmudic principle, "One should not pray upon one's return from a journey [when one is exhausted]"<sup>56</sup> and Rav's teaching, "One whose mind is not settled should not pray."<sup>57</sup> If we bear in mind the dictum that all road travel is dangerous, <sup>58</sup> a person should certainly not pray when he is in the midst of a rigorous trip. This law is in effect once the trip is underway in earnest, after the first parsah has been traversed. While Rashi does not discriminate between "prayer" (Shemoneh Esreh) and Tefillat ha-Derekh, Bahag would make such a distinction, particularly since the essence of Tefillat ha-Derekh is related to the travel at hand. Such is not the case with the Shemoneh Esresh.

Rabbeinu Yonah<sup>59</sup> opines that Rashi would allow the recitation of *Tefillat ha-Derekh* even after one has journeyed a *parsah*. Normally, the optimal time for reciting a blessing is *over la-asiyyatan*, that is, prior to the performance of the *mitsvah* act. Rashi considers *Tefillat ha-Derekh* recited during the first *parsah* of travel as *over la-asiyyatan*. The initial *parsah* is not considered true "travel" inasmuch as many fellow townspeople are coming and going; the rigors of travel are not yet present. Rabbeinu Yonah believes that Rashi would allow the recitation of *Tefillat ha-Derekh* as long as the individual is still in the midst of his journey. In fact, *Tur*<sup>60</sup> rules that one may recite *Tefillat ha-Derekh* at any point of the journey as long as one is not within a *parsah* of one's destination.<sup>61</sup>

Meiri<sup>62</sup> recalls that *Tefillat ha-Derekh* is the fulfillment of the call to "consult your Maker" prior to a journey.<sup>63</sup> Therefore, Rashi requires the recitation of this prayer within the first *parsah*, before the journey is truly underway. Perhaps this is akin to Rabbeinu Yonah's understanding of Rashi.

Bahag's opinion that a trip which is shorter than a parsah does not warrant the recitation of Tefillat ha-Derekh is difficult to comprehend in light of the teaching that all road travel is dangerous. Accordingly, Ramban and Rashba concur with Rashi. Rabbeinu Yonah defends Bahag on the premise that the aforementioned teaching does not apply to roads that are very close to cities. This obviates the need to recite the wayfarer's prayer for journeys that take one less than a parsah away from the city.

Tur<sup>68</sup> and Shulhan Arukh<sup>69</sup> suggest the recitation of Tefillat ha-Derekh without mention of God's name in the concluding blessing when undertaking a journey that is less than a parsah. The mention of God's name would be called for if the traveler presumed that this trip were dangerous, despite its short duration.<sup>70</sup>

Posekim discuss the meaning of the Talmud's statement, "From the time that one is traveling upon the road." R. Abraham Gombiner, in Magen Avraham, 71 states that "traveling upon the road" occurs when one has left one's town. Thus, one should recite Tefillat ha-Derekh only when one has traversed seventy and two-thirds cubits (approximately 125 feet) beyond the town limits.<sup>72</sup> R. David Halevi (Taz) permits a person to recite the wayfarer's prayer even if he is still in town, prior to commencing his journey.73 Rif's text supports Taz's contention, as it reads "mi-sha'ah she-yohez ba-derekh" instead of "mi-sha'ah she-mehalekh ba-derekh." "Ohez ha-derekh" suggests a definite commitment to the journey. Taz draws upon Maharam of Rothenberg's practice of appending Tefillat ha-Derekh to the morning prayers<sup>74</sup> and suggests that once one is definitely committed to, and on the verge of, one's journey, one is considered an ohez ba-derekh. Ateret Zekenim<sup>75</sup> cites a statement by Rava elsewhere in the Talmud<sup>76</sup> that seems to support Taz's assertion that one can be considered an ohez ba-derekh even prior to one's actual travel. 77 Taz recognizes that the prevalent practice is to recite the wayfarer's prayer en route. This is to comply with Abbayei's dictum<sup>78</sup> of identifying with the public in general and one's fellow travelers in particular. Support for Taz may be forthcoming from the depiction of King David's soldiers "consulting the Sanhedrin," to request that they pray, prior to their setting out upon their mission.<sup>79</sup> Thus, if one wants to recite Tefillat ha-Derekh prior to one's journey, Taz requires him to do so publicly in the synagogue.

R. Baruch Halevi Epstein, in his Arukh ha-Shulhan, 80 challenges Taz by citing Rashi's 81 view that ohez/mahazik ba-derekh is defined as one who has actually begun his journey. Our practice follows Magen Avraham, although one may rely post facto on Taz. 82

Both Mishnah Berurah<sup>83</sup> and Arukh ha-Shulhan<sup>84</sup> require the recitation of Tefillat ha-Derekh even when one utilizes modern means of travel such as the railroad or steamship. At first glance, one could well argue that these modern conveyances have removed much of the danger of road travel, thereby obviating the need for the wayfarer's prayer. Yet, modern travel is still fraught with its sources of potential danger, be it the dangerous neighborhood, vehicular malfunctioning, human error or drunken drivers. Rabbi Moshe Feinstein<sup>85</sup> suggests that even were this not true, Tefillat ha-Derekh would still be required. One must bear in mind that the wayfarer's prayer is based on the concept of "consult your Maker." In all fields of endeavor, people consult experts when they are uncertain about a specific situation. Individuals do not necessarily wait until they are certain

### Aryeh Weil

that they are facing a crisis. Thus, consultation with God (*Tefillat ha-Derekh*) is called for even when there is but minimal potential of danger ahead.

Yet, must one recite this prayer for a trip of a mere parsah? In talmudic times, such a journey was an hour's duration or more. In contemporary times, it takes only a few minutes to traverse this distance. A similar question arises in regard to the laws of netillat yadayim. If one is on a journey and has no water with which to wash his hands before eating bread, one may opt to wrap his hands in a cloth upon estimating that there are no sources of water within the next parsah ahead.86 Jewish law requires one to inconvenience oneself up to a parsah's travel in order to procure water. Be'ur Halakhah rules that one must compute the parsah in terms of time rather than distance. The Talmud calculates that an average person could travel a parsah in seventy-two minutes. Thus, in order to opt for wrapping one's hands, a person must calculate that no water would be found were he to travel onward for seventy-two minutes.87 Similarly, only a journey of seventy-two minutes would require the recitation of Tefillat ha-Derekh.

Hazal understood that road travel can entail even more than physical danger to the wayfarer. Accordingly, one should engage in Torah study en route,<sup>88</sup> for one who turns one's heart to idleness while traveling bears guilt for his soul.<sup>89</sup> In a similar vein, one is urged to do *teshuvah* prior to a journey in order to be spiritually strengthened when encountering the temptations of spiritual evil that present themselves whilst one is engaged in travel.<sup>90</sup>

Tefillat ha-Derekh is one way by which the Jew displays his constant awareness of, and dependence upon, the Almighty. Tefillat ha-Derekh is closely associated with the Shemoneh Esreh, in which our daily needs are outlined and, as we saw, is identified with its shome'a tefillah blessing. Life is filled with uncertainties that confront every individual. Turning to God at the onset of a journey in petition for his protection demonstrates our concord with the words of the Psalmist: "I will fear no evil, for You are with me" (Psalms 23:4).

#### NOTES

- 1. B. Berakhot 29b.
- 2. Ibid., 3b.
- 3. Ibid., s.v. ve-nimlakhin.
- 4. J. Berakhot 4:4. There is much discussion amongst Rishonim regarding the halakhic implications of Rabbi Hanina's statement. On the basis of Rabbi Hanina's teaching, Tur (Orah Hayyim 219) cites Rambam's (Yad ha-Hazakah, Hilkhot Berakhot 10:8) requirement that Birkat ha-Gomel be recited upon the safe completion of any journey. Shulhan

Arukh (Orah Hayyim 219:7) records this as the practice in Sefarad. On the other hand, Rosh (B. Berakhot 54b) indicates that those living in Tsorfat and Ashkenaz applied Rabbi Hanina's teaching to Tefillat ha-Derekh rather than to Birkat ha-Gomel.

5. J. Berakhot 4:4. There are other prayers associated with entering and leaving a city:

Our rabbis taught: What does one say upon entering? "May it be Your will, O Lord, my God, to bring me into this city in peace." When one is inside he says: "I give thanks to you, O Lord, my God, that you have brought me into this city in peace." When one is about to leave he says: "May it be Your will, O Lord, my God and God of my fathers, to bring me out of this city in peace." When one is outside he says: "I give thanks to You, O Lord, my God, that you have brought me out of this city in peace, and just as You have brought me out in peace, so may You guide me in peace and support me in peace and enable me to proceed in peace and deliver me from the hands of all enemies and liers-in-wait along the way." (B. Berakhot 60a)

The Talmud debates whether these prayers were always recited, or whether they were necessary only upon entering or leaving a city where the government represented the antithesis of law and justice. Arukh ha-Shulhan (Orah Hayyim 230:4) explains that in our times these prayers are generally not recited because most locales are, in fact, governed by an orderly judicial system. Rambam mentions these prayers in Yad ha-Hazakah, Hilkhot Berakhot 10:25. Beit Yosef (Orah Hayyim 110, s.v. ve-ha-Rambam) wonders why Rambam fails to mention several halakhot relevant to Tefillat ha-Derekh, such as the closing berakhah and at what point of the journey the prayer is to be recited.

- 6. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 7. See Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:4.
- 8. Meiri's position may be more readily comprehended in light of Magen Avraham's understanding of Rashba regarding the laws of a ta'anit yahid, a personal fast-day. Rema (Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 565:1) rules that an individual observing a personal day of fasting should nevertheless recite the fast-day prayer of Aneinu in its usual, plural, form. This is on the basis of Abbayei's opinion of identifying with the community (Teshuvot Rashba no. 25). Taz (Orah Hayyim 565:1) objects, because Aneinu (with its phraseology of "on this day of our fast") suggests the observance of a communal fast. This would be a false statement in the case of a ta'anit vahid. Rashba's license for the individual to recite Aneinu does not extend to the phrase "on this day of our fast," according to Taz. Magen Avraham (ibid., note 1) defends Rema, claiming that Rashba sanctions the retention of the plural form, since it is inevitable that another Jew, somewhere in the world, will also be observing a personal day of fasting. Yet, Bah (Orah Hayyim, 665 s.v. u-mah she-katav ve-tov) and Taz suggest that Aneinu be modified to read "on this day of my fast" to reflect the fact that this is only a personal fast day. See also Teshuvot ha-Ramban no. 151. Thus, Meiri might prefer that Tefillat ha-Derekh be recited in the singular when an individual is traveling alone. Abbayei's requirement of identifying with the community could be fulfilled by the addition of the phrase, "I and all Your people, the House of Israel."
- 9. Avot 2:5.
- 10. *Ibid.*, 4:7.
- 11. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:4, Mishnah Berurah note 18. Notwithstanding our practice of reciting Tefillat ha-Derekh in the plural, Magen Avraham (110:10) cites Sefer ha-Kanah that the phrase ve-titteneinu le-hen le-hesed u-le-rahamim, "and grant us grace, kindness and mercy," should be recited in the singular form ve-titteneini, "and grant me." This practice has an unrevealed spiritual significance (al pi sod). However, there are those who disagree with this opinion (See Arukh ha-Shulhan Orah Hayyim 110:10). While some siddurim follow Sefer ha-Kanah, the majority retain the plural form, "and grant us."
- 12. B. Berakhot 29b.
- 13. Rashba is satisfied with this comparison and does not explore the issue further. See footnote 22 for a possible explanation of Rashba's reasoning.
- 14. B. Berakhot 28b. The Talmud (*ibid.*, 29b) offers five different renditions of this prayer, which is to be recited when one is traveling on a route so dangerous that it deprives him of even the minimal peace of mind required to recite Havineinu. It does not require the

### Arveh Weil

recitation of the three opening and three closing berakhot of the Shemoneh Esreh, and does not satisfy one's obligation of prayer, even post facto. On the other hand, Havineinu does require the accompaniment of the six blessings of the Shemoneh Esreh and can, post facto, fulfill one's requirement of tefillah. See Arukh ha-Shulhan Orah Hayyim 110:4 for a discussion of this short prayer. Havineinu, taken from B. Berakhot 29a, is discussed in Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:1 and Mishnah Berurah, ibid., notes 1-2. Generally, Havineinu is rarely used, but, interestingly, it is a needed option noted in the contemporary siddur of the Israeli Defense Forces.

- 15. Cited by Beit Yosef, Orah Hayyim 110, s.v. ve-ha-Ram.
- 16. This is partially based on Tur's (ibid.) citation of Maharam of Rothenberg's practice of reciting Tefillat ha-Derekh immediately after the blessing, "gomel hasadim tovim le-amo Yisra'el."
- 17. Cited by Ramban, Sefer Torat ha-Adam, at the end of B. Berakhot.
- 18. Bahag, as understood by many commentators. See Rashi (B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. ad parsah), Meiri (ibid., 29b), Rabbeinu Yonah (ibid., 30a, s.v. mi-sha'ah), Rosh (ibid., 4:18), Ra'avad (cited by Ramban, Sefer Torat ha-Adam, at the end of B. Berakhot) and Tur Orah Hayyim 110.
- 19. Sefer Torat ha-Adam at the end of B. Berakhot.
- 20. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 184:6.
- 21. B. Pesahim 104b, s.v. kol ha-berakhot.
- 22. This may explain Rashba's position as well. Perhaps he meant to compare *Tefillat ha-Derekh* to the *tefillah ketsarah*, the latter being an obvious example of a petitionary prayer rather than a *berakhah*.
- 23. Hilkhot Keriat Shema 1:8, s.v. hikdim.
- 24. B. Berakhot 11b.
- 25. B. Berakhot 40b.
- 26. See Beit Yosef, Orah Hayyim 110, s.v. ve-ha-Ram. The Talmudic text of Tefillat ha-Derekh does not contain the phrase "ve-tahazireinu le-beiteinu le-shalom (and return us to our homes peacefully)" (B. Berakhot 29b). Likewise, the texts of Rif (ibid.) and Tur (Orah Hayyim 110) omit this phrase. Gra (B. Berakhot 29b), Rosh (ibid.), Rashba (ibid.) and Meiri (ibid.) all include this phrase in their text of Tefillat ha-Derekkh. As a rule, it is an accepted practice to recite this phrase only when one anticipates returning home on that very day. Otherwise, the phrase is usually omitted.
- 27. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 28. The Talmud (B. Bava Kamma 81b) derives this principle from Proverbs 3:27.
- 29. Yad ha-Hazakah, Hilkhot Tefillah 4:19.
- 30. Ibid., s.v. u-mitpallel.
- 31. This position is consistent with Rav Karo's opinion, stated in his Beit Yosef (see footnote 5), that Rambam did not discuss Tefillat ha-Derekh in Yad ha-Hazakah.
- 32. B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. ve-heikhi.
- 33. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 34. Ibid., 29b.
- 35. Ibid., 30a.
- 36. Cited by Rabbeinu Yonah, B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. Rav Hisda.
- 37. In general, halakhah ke-Rav Sheshet be-issurei. See Ma'adanei Yom Tov, note 200 to Rosh, B. Berakhot 4:18. Also see Rosh, B. Sukah 4:1.
- 38. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 39. B. Berakhot 4:18.
- 40. Cited by Tosafot, B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. ve-heikhi.
- 41. Tur Orah Hayyim 110, s.v. u-mah she-katav u-me'umad.
- 42. Note 200 to Rosh, B. Berakhot 4:18.
- 43. B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. ve-heikhi.
- 44. Halakhah ke-Rav Sheshet be-issurei, as indicated in footnote 37.
- 45. Note 200 to Rosh, B. Berakhot 4:18.
- 46. B. Berakhot 28b.
- 47. Similarly, B. Berakhot 11a relates that R. Yishma'el straightened up from his reclining position in order to recite Keriat Shema. He was trying to demonstrate that the halakhah is not in accord with Beit Shammai (who maintain that one should recline during the

- nocturnal recitation of *Shema*). This, despite the fact that Beit Hillel allow the reclining position as an option for *Keriat Shema*.
- 48. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:4 and Mishnah Berurah, notes 21-22.
- 49. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 50. B. Berakhot 30a, s.v. ad parsah.
- 51. Bahag's position does not correlate with the text as easily as Rashi's. Thus, Meiri (B. Berakhot 29b) suggests that Bahag had the following text: "Eimat matsli, ad parsah (When does one pray [Tefillat ha-Derekh]? [When taking a trip whose duration is as short as] a parsah)." Rashi assumes that Bahag had the text as it appears in our Talmud.
- 52. B. Berakhot 4:18.
- 53. Cited by Ramban, Sefer Torat ha-Adam at the end of B. Berakhot.
- 54. Orah Hayyim 110.
- 55. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 56. B. Eruvin 65a.
- 57. Ibid.
- 58. J. Berakhot 4:4.
- 59. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 60. Orah Hayyim 110.
- 61. Maharshak (*Tiferet Shemu'el* note 20 to Rosh, B. *Berakhot* 4:18) questions the logic of excluding even the final *parsah* of the journey. While presuming *Taz*'s reasoning to be that the final *parsah* of the journey is akin to the initial *parsah*, Maharshak disagrees and suggests that *Tefillat ha-Derekh* should be recited even during the final *parsah* of one's expedition. Maharshak distinguishes between the initial *parsah* and the final one.
- 62. B. Berakhot 29b.
- 63. Ibid.
- 64. J. Berakhot 4:4.
- 65. Sefer Torat ha-Adam at the end of B. Berakhot.
- 66. B. Berakhot 30a.
- 67. Ibid., s.v. mi-sha'ah.
- 68. Orah Havvim 110.
- 69. Orah Hayyim 110:7.
- 70. Taz, ibid., note 6. Meiri (B. Berakhot 30a) has a novel interpretation of our talmudic text. He maintains that Tefillat ha-Derekh should be recited at the outset of one's journey if it is less than a day's duration. For a day-long excursion, the prayer should only be recited after one's having traveled a parsah.
- 71. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:7, note 14.
- 72. The Talmud (B. Nedarim 56b) considers the outskirts of a town as part of the town itself.
- 73. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:7, note 7.
- 74. Tur Orah Hayyim 110. Taz assumes that Maharam recited the morning prayers at home, prior to his journey. Ba'er Heitev (Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:6, note 9), Magen Avraham (ibid., note 12) and Perishah (Tur Orah Hayyim 110, note 8) contend that Maharam recited the morning prayers en route to his destination.
- 75. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:7.
- 76. B. Horayot 4a.
- 77. Arukh ha-Shulhan (Orah Hayyim 110:13) differs with Ateret Zekenim inasmuch as a person's preoccupation with his travel preparations is a critical element of the discussion in B. Horayot. One may very well have to be en route in order to be considered ohez baderekh with regard to Tefillat ha-Derekh.
- 78. B. Berakhot 29b.
- 79. B. Berakhot 3b.
- 80. Orah Hayyim 110:13.
- 81. B. Eruvin 52a, s.v. nehit darga.
- 82. See Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:7, Mishnah Berurah note 29. Such post facto reliance upon Taz is easily understood in our times when drunken drivers and/or the high volume of vehicular traffic within large cities can inject an element of danger even prior to one's leaving the city limits.
- 83. Ibid., note 30.
- 84. Orah Hayyim 110:11.
- 85. Iggerot Moshe, Orah Hayyim II:59.

## Aryeh Weil

- 86. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 163:1.
- 87. Ibid.
- 88. Shulhan Arukh Orah Hayyim 110:4, Magen Avraham note 10.
- 89. Avot 3:5.
- 90. Siddur Otsar ha-Tefillot, vol. I, p. 263a, quoting Besamim Rosh.